

REMARKS

Claims 2-7, 10-11 are pending in the application. Claim 11 is herein canceled. Claim 10 is amended. No new matter has been introduced.

Applicant's Attorney acknowledges the helpful comments received from Examiner Wilson in a telephone conference on February 23, 2004 regarding Claim 10.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 of Claim 11 based on cited Farrell is moot in view of cancellation of Claim 11.


Claims 10 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claim 10 has been amended to more clearly define the claimed invention. The rejection of Claim 10 is believed overcome by this amendment. Support for the amendment to Claim 10 can be found at least in the Specification page 13, lines 6-23 and FIGs. 13-14. The specification has been amended to clarify the embodiments shown in FIGs 13-14. No new matter is added.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that Claims 2-7 and 10 are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

By 
Timothy J. Meagher
Registration No. 39,302
Telephone: (978) 341-0036
Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Dated: 2/25/04